



UNC
KENAN-FLAGLER
BUSINESS SCHOOL

The Asset Durability Premium

Kai Li and Chi-Yang Tsou

Discussant: **Andrei S. Gonçalves**

MFA 2020

Outline

The Paper in a Nutshell

My Comments

Final Remarks

Durable Asset = Hard to Finance

- **Non-durable Asset:**

$$V_{nd} = \frac{CF_1}{(1+dr)} + \frac{CF_2}{(1+dr)^2} + \frac{CF_3}{(1+dr)^3} \dots$$

$$= \frac{K_{nd} \times \pi}{(1+dr)} + \frac{0}{(1+dr)^2} + \frac{0}{(1+dr)^3} \dots$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\frac{V_{nd}}{K_{nd}} = \pi \times \frac{1}{(1+dr)}$$

- **Durable Asset:**

$$V_d = \frac{CF_1}{(1+dr)} + \frac{CF_2}{(1+dr)^2} + \frac{CF_3}{(1+dr)^3} \dots$$

$$= \frac{K_d \times \pi}{(1+dr)} + \frac{K_d \times \pi}{(1+dr)^2} + \frac{0}{(1+dr)^3} \dots$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\frac{V_d}{K_d} = \pi \times \left[\frac{1}{(1+dr)} + \frac{1}{(1+dr)^2} \right]$$

Durable Asset = Hard to Finance

- **Non-durable Asset:**

$$V_{nd} = \frac{CF_1}{(1+dr)} + \frac{CF_2}{(1+dr)^2} + \frac{CF_3}{(1+dr)^3} \dots$$

$$= \frac{K_{nd} \times \pi}{(1+dr)} + \frac{0}{(1+dr)^2} + \frac{0}{(1+dr)^3} \dots$$



$$\frac{V_{nd}}{K_{nd}} = \pi \times \frac{1}{(1+dr)}$$

- **Durable Asset:**

$$V_d = \frac{CF_1}{(1+dr)} + \frac{CF_2}{(1+dr)^2} + \frac{CF_3}{(1+dr)^3} \dots$$

$$= \frac{K_d \times \pi}{(1+dr)} + \frac{K_d \times \pi}{(1+dr)^2} + \frac{0}{(1+dr)^3} \dots$$



$$\frac{V_d}{K_d} = \pi \times \left[\frac{1}{(1+dr)} + \frac{1}{(1+dr)^2} \right]$$

Durable Asset = Hard to Finance

- Non-durable Asset:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{nd} &= \frac{CF_1}{(1+dr)} + \frac{CF_2}{(1+dr)^2} + \frac{CF_3}{(1+dr)^3} \dots \\
 &= \frac{K_{nd} \times \pi}{(1+dr)} + \frac{0}{(1+dr)^2} + \frac{0}{(1+dr)^3} \dots \\
 &\Downarrow \\
 \frac{V_{nd}}{K_{nd}} &= \pi \times \frac{1}{(1+dr)}
 \end{aligned}$$

- Durable Asset:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_d &= \frac{CF_1}{(1+dr)} + \frac{CF_2}{(1+dr)^2} + \frac{CF_3}{(1+dr)^3} \dots \\
 &= \frac{K_d \times \pi}{(1+dr)} + \frac{K_d \times \pi}{(1+dr)^2} + \frac{0}{(1+dr)^3} \dots \\
 &\Downarrow \\
 \frac{V_d}{K_d} &= \pi \times \left[\frac{1}{(1+dr)} + \frac{1}{(1+dr)^2} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Equilibrium Prices Imply V_d is Riskier than V_{nd}

- Durable Assets = Hard to Finance (they are “expensive”)
- Financially constrained firms prefer “cheaper” capital
- During recession, firms become more financially constrained
- During recession, V_d falls relative to V_{nd}
- V_d is riskier than $V_{nd} \implies$ Asset Durability Premium

Equilibrium Prices Imply V_d is Riskier than V_{nd}

- Durable Assets = Hard to Finance (they are “expensive”)
- Financially constrained firms prefer “cheaper” capital
- During recession, firms become more financially constrained
- During recession, V_d falls relative to V_{nd}
- V_d is riskier than $V_{nd} \implies$ Asset Durability Premium

Equilibrium Prices Imply V_d is Riskier than V_{nd}

- Durable Assets = Hard to Finance (they are “expensive”)
- Financially constrained firms prefer “cheaper” capital
- During recession, firms become more financially constrained
- During recession, V_d falls relative to V_{nd}
- V_d is riskier than V_{nd} \implies Asset Durability Premium

Equilibrium Prices Imply V_d is Riskier than V_{nd}

- Durable Assets = Hard to Finance (they are “expensive”)
- Financially constrained firms prefer “cheaper” capital
- During recession, firms become more financially constrained
- During recession, V_d falls relative to V_{nd}
- V_d is riskier than $V_{nd} \implies$ Asset Durability Premium

Equilibrium Prices Imply V_d is Riskier than V_{nd}

- Durable Assets = Hard to Finance (they are “expensive”)
- Financially constrained firms prefer “cheaper” capital
- During recession, firms become more financially constrained
- During recession, V_d falls relative to V_{nd}
- V_d is riskier than $V_{nd} \implies$ **Asset Durability Premium**

The Asset Durability Premium

Panel A: Constrained Subsample

L	2	3	4	H	H-L
----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	------------

The Asset Durability Premium

Panel A: Constrained Subsample

	L	2	3	4	H	H-L
	DIV					
$E[R]-R_f$ (%)	5.39	9.57	9.34	9.03	12.32	6.93
[t]	1.48	2.81	2.81	2.92	3.62	2.86

The Asset Durability Premium

Panel A: Constrained Subsample

	L	2	3	4	H	H-L
DIV						
E[R]-R _f (%)	5.39	9.57	9.34	9.03	12.32	6.93
[t]	1.48	2.81	2.81	2.92	3.62	2.86
WW Index						
E[R]-R _f (%)	6.09	8.24	9.13	9.59	9.65	3.56
[t]	2.13	2.78	3.68	3.78	3.85	2.23

The Asset Durability Premium

Panel A: Constrained Subsample

	L	2	3	4	H	H-L
DIV						
E[R]-R _f (%)	5.39	9.57	9.34	9.03	12.32	6.93
[t]	1.48	2.81	2.81	2.92	3.62	2.86
WW Index						
E[R]-R _f (%)	6.09	8.24	9.13	9.59	9.65	3.56
[t]	2.13	2.78	3.68	3.78	3.85	2.23
Panel B: Whole Sample						
E[R]-R _f (%)	7.36	8.10	8.12	8.65	8.79	1.44
[t]	2.70	3.49	3.26	4.17	3.55	1.03

The Risk Mechanism

Table 7: **Aggregate Shocks and Price Dynamics**

$$\Delta q_{h,t} = \beta_y \Delta y_t + \beta_d \text{Asset Durability}_{h,t} \times \Delta y_t + \varepsilon_{h,t}$$

	(1)	(2)
dy	1.51	1.02
[t]	11.71	3.89
Interaction		1.06
[t]		3.28
Observations	4,830	4,760
Asset FE	Yes	Yes
Cluster SE	Yes	Yes

The Risk Mechanism

Table 7: Aggregate Shocks and Price Dynamics

$$\Delta q_{h,t} = \beta_y \Delta y_t + \beta_d \text{Asset Durability}_{h,t} \times \Delta y_t + \varepsilon_{h,t}$$

	(1)	(2)
dy	1.51	1.02
[t]	11.71	3.89
Interaction		1.06
[t]		3.28
Observations	4,830	4,760
Asset FE	Yes	Yes
Cluster SE	Yes	Yes

Table 10: Cash Flow Sensitivity

	L	2	3	4	H	H-L
TFP	1.16	1.29	1.63	1.58	1.78	0.62
[t]	14.95	8.88	17.82	10.30	9.06	4.25
GDP	1.33	2.01	2.10	2.08	2.54	1.21
[t]	3.76	5.79	4.49	4.72	4.60	5.59

The Risk Mechanism

Table 7: **Aggregate Shocks and Price Dynamics**

$$\Delta q_{h,t} = \beta_y \Delta y_t + \beta_d \text{Asset Durability}_{h,t} \times \Delta y_t + \varepsilon_{h,t}$$

	(1)	(2)
dy	1.51	1.02
[t]	11.71	3.89
Interaction		1.06
[t]		3.28
Observations	4,830	4,760
Asset FE	Yes	Yes
Cluster SE	Yes	Yes

Table 10: **Cash Flow Sensitivity**

	L	2	3	4	H	H-L
TFP	1.16	1.29	1.63	1.58	1.78	0.62
[t]	14.95	8.88	17.82	10.30	9.06	4.25
GDP	1.33	2.01	2.10	2.08	2.54	1.21
[t]	3.76	5.79	4.49	4.72	4.60	5.59

Table 11: **Estimating the Market Price of Risk**

Panel A: Portfolio Risk Exposures						
	L	2	3	4	H	H-L
TFP	0.36	1.92	1.37	1.48	2.33	1.89
[t]	0.75	1.93	1.34	1.73	2.16	2.15
GDP	-0.09	2.97	1.63	1.48	3.32	3.37
[t]	-0.03	0.83	0.51	0.37	0.75	1.85

The DSGE Model

- A representative household solves:

$$U_t = \underset{\{C_t, B_{i,t}\}}{\text{Max}} \left\{ (1 - \beta) \cdot C_t^{1-1/\psi} + \beta \cdot (\mathbb{E}_t [U_{t+1}^{1-\gamma}])^{\frac{1-1/\psi}{1-\gamma}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{1-1/\psi}}$$

s.t.

$$C_t + \int B_{i,t} di = W_t \cdot L_t + R_{i,t} \cdot \int B_{i,t-1} di + \int \Pi_{i,t} di$$

- Entrepreneur i solves:

The DSGE Model

- A representative household solves:

$$U_t = \underset{\{C_t, B_{i,t}\}}{\text{Max}} \left\{ (1 - \beta) \cdot C_t^{1-1/\psi} + \beta \cdot (\mathbb{E}_t [U_{t+1}^{1-\gamma}])^{\frac{1-1/\psi}{1-\gamma}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{1-1/\psi}}$$

s.t.

$$C_t + \int B_{i,t} di = W_t \cdot L_t + R_{f,t} \cdot \int B_{i,t-1} di + \int \Pi_{i,t} di$$

- Entrepreneur i solves:

The DSGE Model

- A representative household solves:

$$U_t = \underset{\{C_t, B_{i,t}\}}{\text{Max}} \left\{ (1 - \beta) \cdot C_t^{1-1/\psi} + \beta \cdot (\mathbb{E}_t [U_{t+1}^{1-\gamma}])^{\frac{1-1/\psi}{1-\gamma}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{1-1/\psi}}$$

s.t.

$$C_t + \int B_{i,t} di = W_t \cdot L_t + R_{f,t} \cdot \int B_{i,t-1} di + \int \Pi_{i,t} di$$

- Entrepreneur i solves:

$$V_t^i = \underset{\{K_{i,t+1}^d, K_{i,t+1}^{nd}, N_{i,t+1}, B_{i,t}\}}{\text{Max}} \mathbb{E}_t [M_{t+1} \cdot \{ \lambda \cdot N_{i,t+1} + (1 - \lambda) \cdot V_{t+1}^i(N_{i,t+1}) \}]$$

s.t.

$$q_{d,t} \cdot K_{i,t+1}^d + q_{nd,t} \cdot K_{i,t+1}^{nd} = N_{i,t} + B_{i,t} \quad (\text{with } \delta_d < \delta_{nd})$$

$$B_{i,t} \leq \theta \cdot \sum_{h \in \{d, nd\}} q_{h,t} \cdot K_{i,t+1}^h$$

The DSGE Model

- A representative household solves:

$$U_t = \underset{\{C_t, B_{i,t}\}}{\text{Max}} \left\{ (1 - \beta) \cdot C_t^{1-1/\psi} + \beta \cdot (\mathbb{E}_t [U_{t+1}^{1-\gamma}])^{\frac{1-1/\psi}{1-\gamma}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{1-1/\psi}}$$

s.t.

$$C_t + \int B_{i,t} di = W_t \cdot L_t + R_{f,t} \cdot \int B_{i,t-1} di + \int \Pi_{i,t} di$$

- Entrepreneur i solves:

$$V_t^i = \underset{\{K_{i,t+1}^d, K_{i,t+1}^{nd}, N_{i,t+1}, B_{i,t}\}}{\text{Max}} \mathbb{E}_t [M_{t+1} \cdot \{ \lambda \cdot N_{i,t+1} + (1 - \lambda) \cdot V_{t+1}^i(N_{i,t+1}) \}]$$

s.t.

$$q_{d,t} \cdot K_{i,t+1}^d + q_{nd,t} \cdot K_{i,t+1}^{nd} = N_{i,t} + B_{i,t} \quad (\text{with } \delta_d < \delta_{nd})$$

$$B_{i,t} \leq 0 \cdot \sum_{h \in \{d, nd\}} q_{h,t} \cdot K_{i,t+1}^h$$

The DSGE Model

- A representative household solves:

$$U_t = \underset{\{C_t, B_{i,t}\}}{\text{Max}} \left\{ (1 - \beta) \cdot C_t^{1-1/\psi} + \beta \cdot (\mathbb{E}_t [U_{t+1}^{1-\gamma}])^{\frac{1-1/\psi}{1-\gamma}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{1-1/\psi}}$$

s.t.

$$C_t + \int B_{i,t} di = W_t \cdot L_t + R_{f,t} \cdot \int B_{i,t-1} di + \int \Pi_{i,t} di$$

- Entrepreneur i solves:

$$V_t^i = \underset{\{K_{i,t+1}^d, K_{i,t+1}^{nd}, N_{i,t+1}, B_{i,t}\}}{\text{Max}} \mathbb{E}_t [M_{t+1} \cdot \{ \lambda \cdot N_{i,t+1} + (1 - \lambda) \cdot V_{t+1}^i(N_{i,t+1}) \}]$$

s.t.

$$q_{d,t} \cdot K_{i,t+1}^d + q_{nd,t} \cdot K_{i,t+1}^{nd} = N_{i,t} + B_{i,t} \quad (\text{with } \delta_d < \delta_{nd})$$

$$B_{i,t} \leq \theta \cdot \sum_{h \in \{d, nd\}} q_{h,t} \cdot K_{i,t+1}^h$$

Model Fit: The Asset Durability Premium

Table 6: Asset Durability Spread, Data, and Model Comparison

Variables	L	2	3	4	H	H-L
Panel A: Data						
Asset Durability	7.69	9.99	11.45	14.24	18.00	
Depreciation	0.19	0.16	0.15	0.13	0.11	
Book Lev.	0.13	0.19	0.21	0.28	0.32	
ROE	0.12	0.17	0.18	0.22	0.23	
$E[R]-R_f$ (%)	5.39	9.57	9.34	9.03	12.32	6.93
Panel B: Model						
Asset Durability	8.33	10.05	11.12	14.28	20.08	
Depreciation	0.12	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.05	
Book Lev.	0.19	0.27	0.33	0.39	0.45	
ROE	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.13	
$E[R]-R_f$ (%)	3.39	5.27	5.96	6.60	7.02	3.63

Model Fit: The Asset Durability Premium

Table 6: Asset Durability Spread, Data, and Model Comparison

Variables	L	2	3	4	H	H-L
Panel A: Data						
Asset Durability	7.69	9.99	11.45	14.24	18.00	
Depreciation	0.19	0.16	0.15	0.13	0.11	
Book Lev.	0.13	0.19	0.21	0.28	0.32	
ROE	0.12	0.17	0.18	0.22	0.23	
E[R]-R _f (%)	5.39	9.57	9.34	9.03	12.32	6.93
Panel B: Model						
Asset Durability	8.33	10.05	11.12	14.28	20.08	
Depreciation	0.12	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.05	
Book Lev.	0.19	0.27	0.33	0.39	0.45	
ROE	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.13	
E[R]-R _f (%)	3.39	5.27	5.96	6.60	7.02	3.63

Model Fit: The Asset Durability Premium

Table 6: Asset Durability Spread, Data, and Model Comparison

Variables	L	2	3	4	H	H-L
Panel A: Data						
Asset Durability	7.69	9.99	11.45	14.24	18.00	
Depreciation	0.19	0.16	0.15	0.13	0.11	
Book Lev.	0.13	0.19	0.21	0.28	0.32	
ROE	0.12	0.17	0.18	0.22	0.23	
E[R]-R _f (%)	5.39	9.57	9.34	9.03	12.32	6.93
Panel B: Model						
Asset Durability	8.33	10.05	11.12	14.28	20.08	
Depreciation	0.12	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.05	
Book Lev.	0.19	0.27	0.33	0.39	0.45	
ROE	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.13	
E[R]-R _f (%)	3.39	5.27	5.96	6.60	7.02	3.63

Model Fit: The Asset Durability Premium

Table 6: Asset Durability Spread, Data, and Model Comparison

Variables	L	2	3	4	H	H-L
Panel A: Data						
Asset Durability	7.69	9.99	11.45	14.24	18.00	
Depreciation	0.19	0.16	0.15	0.13	0.11	
Book Lev.	0.13	0.19	0.21	0.28	0.32	
ROE	0.12	0.17	0.18	0.22	0.23	
E[R]-R _f (%)	5.39	9.57	9.34	9.03	12.32	6.93
Panel B: Model						
Asset Durability	8.33	10.05	11.12	14.28	20.08	
Depreciation	0.12	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.05	
Book Lev.	0.19	0.27	0.33	0.39	0.45	
ROE	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.13	
E[R]-R _f (%)	3.39	5.27	5.96	6.60	7.02	3.63

Outline

The Paper in a Nutshell

My Comments

Final Remarks

1) Constrained vs Unconstrained Firms

- Equilibrium $q_{d,t}$ and $q_{nd,t} \Rightarrow$ Asset Durability Premium
- Equilibrium $q_{d,t}$ and $q_{nd,t}$ depend on constrained firms, but they should affect all firms (constrained and unconstrained)
- Empirically, the Asset Durability Premium exists only among constrained firms
- Can you add unconstrained firms to the model and show that such firms do not display the Asset Durability Premium (and explore the mechanism)?

1) Constrained vs Unconstrained Firms

- Equilibrium $q_{d,t}$ and $q_{nd,t} \Rightarrow$ Asset Durability Premium
- Equilibrium $q_{d,t}$ and $q_{nd,t}$ depend on constrained firms, but they should affect all firms (constrained and unconstrained)
- Empirically, the Asset Durability Premium exists only among constrained firms
- Can you add unconstrained firms to the model and show that such firms do not display the Asset Durability Premium (and explore the mechanism)?

1) Constrained vs Unconstrained Firms

- Equilibrium $q_{d,t}$ and $q_{nd,t} \Rightarrow$ Asset Durability Premium
- Equilibrium $q_{d,t}$ and $q_{nd,t}$ depend on constrained firms, but they should affect all firms (constrained and unconstrained)
- Empirically, the Asset Durability Premium exists only among constrained firms
- Can you add unconstrained firms to the model and show that such firms do not display the Asset Durability Premium (and explore the mechanism)?

1) Constrained vs Unconstrained Firms

- Equilibrium $q_{d,t}$ and $q_{nd,t} \Rightarrow$ Asset Durability Premium
- Equilibrium $q_{d,t}$ and $q_{nd,t}$ depend on constrained firms, but they should affect all firms (constrained and unconstrained)
- Empirically, the Asset Durability Premium exists only among constrained firms
- Can you add unconstrained firms to the model and show that such firms do not display the Asset Durability Premium (and explore the mechanism)?

2) Jointly Study Durability and Collateralizability Premia

- $B_{i,t} \leq \theta \cdot \sum_{h \in \{d, nd\}} q_{h,t} \cdot K_{i,t+1}^h$
 - θ should be higher for durable assets
 - It matters: Ai et al. (2019) indicates higher collateralizability lowers the riskiness of assets
 - Page 6 states "...we also consider a variation of the model with Rampini (2019) type of collateral constraint", but I could not identify the results related to this analysis
 - I suggest jointly studying durability and collateralizability in a model with (δ_d, θ_d) and $(\delta_{nd}, \theta_{nd})$ firms
 - You can compare double sorts in the data (likely to show stronger durability premium) with double sorts in the model

2) Jointly Study Durability and Collateralizability Premia

- $B_{i,t} \leq \theta \cdot \sum_{h \in \{d, nd\}} q_{h,t} \cdot K_{i,t+1}^h$
- θ should be higher for durable assets
- It matters: Ai et al. (2019) indicates higher collateralizability lowers the riskiness of assets
- Page 6 states "...we also consider a variation of the model with Rampini (2019) type of collateral constraint", but I could not identify the results related to this analysis
- I suggest jointly studying durability and collateralizability in a model with (δ_d, θ_d) and $(\delta_{nd}, \theta_{nd})$ firms
- You can compare double sorts in the data (likely to show stronger durability premium) with double sorts in the model

2) Jointly Study Durability and Collateralizability Premia

- $B_{i,t} \leq \theta \cdot \sum_{h \in \{d, nd\}} q_{h,t} \cdot K_{i,t+1}^h$
- θ should be higher for durable assets
- It matters: Ai et al. (2019) indicates higher collateralizability lowers the riskiness of assets
- Page 6 states "...we also consider a variation of the model with Rampini (2019) type of collateral constraint", but I could not identify the results related to this analysis
- I suggest jointly studying durability and collateralizability in a model with (δ_d, θ_d) and $(\delta_{nd}, \theta_{nd})$ firms
- You can compare double sorts in the data (likely to show stronger durability premium) with double sorts in the model

2) Jointly Study Durability and Collateralizability Premia

- $B_{i,t} \leq \theta \cdot \sum_{h \in \{d, nd\}} q_{h,t} \cdot K_{i,t+1}^h$
- θ should be higher for durable assets
- It matters: Ai et al. (2019) indicates higher collateralizability lowers the riskiness of assets
- Page 6 states “...we also consider a variation of the model with Rampini (2019) type of collateral constraint”, but I could not identify the results related to this analysis
- I suggest jointly studying durability and collateralizability in a model with (δ_d, θ_d) and $(\delta_{nd}, \theta_{nd})$ firms
- You can compare double sorts in the data (likely to show stronger durability premium) with double sorts in the model

2) Jointly Study Durability and Collateralizability Premia

- $B_{i,t} \leq \theta \cdot \sum_{h \in \{d, nd\}} q_{h,t} \cdot K_{i,t+1}^h$
- θ should be higher for durable assets
- It matters: Ai et al. (2019) indicates higher collateralizability lowers the riskiness of assets
- Page 6 states “...we also consider a variation of the model with Rampini (2019) type of collateral constraint”, but I could not identify the results related to this analysis
- I suggest jointly studying durability and collateralizability in a model with (δ_d, θ_d) and $(\delta_{nd}, \theta_{nd})$ firms
- You can compare double sorts in the data (likely to show stronger durability premium) with double sorts in the model

2) Jointly Study Durability and Collateralizability Premia

- $B_{i,t} \leq \theta \cdot \sum_{h \in \{d, nd\}} q_{h,t} \cdot K_{i,t+1}^h$
- θ should be higher for durable assets
- It matters: Ai et al. (2019) indicates higher collateralizability lowers the riskiness of assets
- Page 6 states “...we also consider a variation of the model with Rampini (2019) type of collateral constraint”, but I could not identify the results related to this analysis
- I suggest jointly studying durability and collateralizability in a model with (δ_d, θ_d) and $(\delta_{nd}, \theta_{nd})$ firms
- You can compare double sorts in the data (likely to show stronger durability premium) with double sorts in the model

3) The Growth Premium

- The durability premium arises because of high $q_{d,t}$, which makes durable capital “expensive”, and thus hard to finance
- Does that imply the model generates a Growth Premium (as opposed to a Value Premium)?
- It is not clear because “durable firms” are quite profitable (and thus have short equity duration) in the model
- I suggest you explore this issue explicitly in the paper
- Jointly studying the durability and collateralizability premia may also shed light on this matter

3) The Growth Premium

- The durability premium arises because of high $q_{d,t}$, which makes durable capital “expensive”, and thus hard to finance
- Does that imply the model generates a Growth Premium (as opposed to a Value Premium)?
- It is not clear because “durable firms” are quite profitable (and thus have short equity duration) in the model
- I suggest you explore this issue explicitly in the paper
- Jointly studying the durability and collateralizability premia may also shed light on this matter

3) The Growth Premium

- The durability premium arises because of high $q_{d,t}$, which makes durable capital “expensive”, and thus hard to finance
- Does that imply the model generates a Growth Premium (as opposed to a Value Premium)?
- It is not clear because “durable firms” are quite profitable (and thus have short equity duration) in the model
- I suggest you explore this issue explicitly in the paper
- Jointly studying the durability and collateralizability premia may also shed light on this matter

3) The Growth Premium

- The durability premium arises because of high $q_{d,t}$, which makes durable capital “expensive”, and thus hard to finance
- Does that imply the model generates a Growth Premium (as opposed to a Value Premium)?
- It is not clear because “durable firms” are quite profitable (and thus have short equity duration) in the model
- I suggest you explore this issue explicitly in the paper
- Jointly studying the durability and collateralizability premia may also shed light on this matter

3) The Growth Premium

- The durability premium arises because of high $q_{d,t}$, which makes durable capital “expensive”, and thus hard to finance
- Does that imply the model generates a Growth Premium (as opposed to a Value Premium)?
- It is not clear because “durable firms” are quite profitable (and thus have short equity duration) in the model
- I suggest you explore this issue explicitly in the paper
- Jointly studying the durability and collateralizability premia may also shed light on this matter

4) The Risk Premium Channel

$$\underbrace{\tau_{d,t} - \tau_{nd,t}}_{\text{User cost Difference}} = \underbrace{(\vartheta_{d,t} - \vartheta_{nd,t})}_{\text{Rampini (2019)}} + \Delta_{rp,t} + \dots$$

- "...according to the first component durable capital is costly because it requires more down payment"
- "... according to the second component, durable capital requires higher risk premium"
- But, with $dr_d > dr_{nd}$, the cost difference should be attenuated:
- How can $dr_d > dr_{nd}$ increase the user cost difference?

4) The Risk Premium Channel

$$\underbrace{\tau_{d,t} - \tau_{nd,t}}_{\text{User cost Difference}} = \underbrace{(\vartheta_{d,t} - \vartheta_{nd,t})}_{\text{Rampini (2019)}} + \Delta_{rp,t} + \dots$$

- “...according to the first component durable capital is costly because it requires more down payment”
- “... according to the second component, durable capital requires higher risk premium”
- But, with $dr_d > dr_{nd}$, the cost difference should be attenuated:
- How can $dr_d > dr_{nd}$ increase the user cost difference?

4) The Risk Premium Channel

$$\underbrace{\tau_{d,t} - \tau_{nd,t}}_{\text{User cost Difference}} = \underbrace{(\vartheta_{d,t} - \vartheta_{nd,t})}_{\text{Rampini (2019)}} + \Delta_{rp,t} + \dots$$

- “...according to the first component durable capital is costly because it requires more down payment”
- “... according to the second component, durable capital requires higher risk premium”
- But, with $dr_d > dr_{nd}$, the cost difference should be attenuated:
- How can $dr_d > dr_{nd}$ increase the user cost difference?

4) The Risk Premium Channel

$$\underbrace{\tau_{d,t} - \tau_{nd,t}}_{\text{User cost Difference}} = \underbrace{(\vartheta_{d,t} - \vartheta_{nd,t})}_{\text{Rampini (2019)}} + \Delta_{rp,t} + \dots$$

- “...according to the first component durable capital is costly because it requires more down payment”
- “... according to the second component, durable capital requires higher risk premium”
- But, with $dr_d > dr_{nd}$, the cost difference should be attenuated:

$$\frac{V_{nd}}{K_{nd}} = \pi \times \frac{1}{(1 + dr_{nd})} \quad \frac{V_d}{K_d} = \pi \times \left[\frac{1}{(1 + dr_d)} + \frac{1}{(1 + dr_d)^2} \right]$$

- How can $dr_d > dr_{nd}$ increase the user cost difference?

4) The Risk Premium Channel

$$\underbrace{\tau_{d,t} - \tau_{nd,t}}_{\text{User cost Difference}} = \underbrace{(\vartheta_{d,t} - \vartheta_{nd,t})}_{\text{Rampini (2019)}} + \Delta_{rp,t} + \dots$$

- “...according to the first component durable capital is costly because it requires more down payment”
- “... according to the second component, durable capital requires higher risk premium”
- But, with $dr_d > dr_{nd}$, the cost difference should be attenuated:

$$\frac{V_{nd}}{K_{nd}} = \pi \times \frac{1}{(1 + dr_{nd})} \quad \frac{V_d}{K_d} = \pi \times \left[\frac{1}{(1 + dr_d)} + \frac{1}{(1 + dr_d)^2} \right]$$

- How can $dr_d > dr_{nd}$ increase the user cost difference?

Other (less important) Comments/Questions

1. I would present all empirical evidence upfront
2. Do we have $\int \Pi_{i,t} di = \lambda \cdot N_{t+1}$?
3. In Ai et al. (2019), you have household and firms. Why entrepreneurs (instead of firms) here?
4. Typo in Equation (15)? $C_t + I_t + G(I_t, K_t^d + K_t^{nd}) = Y_t$
5. Why focus on asset durability relative to industry? The argument should also hold across industries...
6. Might be too much, but I think θ_t would make the Asset Durability Premium stronger in the model

Other (less important) Comments/Questions

1. I would present all empirical evidence upfront
2. Do we have $\int \Pi_{i,t} di = \lambda \cdot N_{t+1}$?
3. In Ai et al. (2019), you have household and firms. Why entrepreneurs (instead of firms) here?
4. Typo in Equation (15)? $C_t + I_t + G(I_t, K_t^d + K_t^{nd}) = Y_t$
5. Why focus on asset durability relative to industry? The argument should also hold across industries...
6. Might be too much, but I think θ_t would make the Asset Durability Premium stronger in the model

Other (less important) Comments/Questions

1. I would present all empirical evidence upfront
2. Do we have $\int \Pi_{i,t} di = \lambda \cdot N_{t+1}$?
3. In Ai et al. (2019), you have household and firms. Why entrepreneurs (instead of firms) here?
4. Typo in Equation (15)? $C_t + I_t + G(I_t, K_t^d + K_t^{nd}) = Y_t$
5. Why focus on asset durability relative to industry? The argument should also hold across industries...
6. Might be too much, but I think θ_t would make the Asset Durability Premium stronger in the model

Other (less important) Comments/Questions

1. I would present all empirical evidence upfront
2. Do we have $\int \Pi_{i,t} di = \lambda \cdot N_{t+1}$?
3. In Ai et al. (2019), you have household and firms. Why entrepreneurs (instead of firms) here?
4. Typo in Equation (15)? $C_t + I_t + G(I_t, K_t^d + K_t^{nd}) = Y_t$
5. Why focus on asset durability relative to industry? The argument should also hold across industries...
6. Might be too much, but I think θ_t would make the Asset Durability Premium stronger in the model

Other (less important) Comments/Questions

1. I would present all empirical evidence upfront
2. Do we have $\int \Pi_{i,t} di = \lambda \cdot N_{t+1}$?
3. In Ai et al. (2019), you have household and firms. Why entrepreneurs (instead of firms) here?
4. Typo in Equation (15)? $C_t + I_t + G(I_t, K_t^d + K_t^{nd}) = Y_t$
5. Why focus on asset durability relative to industry? The argument should also hold across industries...
6. Might be too much, but I think θ_t would make the Asset Durability Premium stronger in the model

Other (less important) Comments/Questions

1. I would present all empirical evidence upfront
2. Do we have $\int \Pi_{i,t} di = \lambda \cdot N_{t+1}$?
3. In Ai et al. (2019), you have household and firms. Why entrepreneurs (instead of firms) here?
4. Typo in Equation (15)? $C_t + I_t + G(I_t, K_t^d + K_t^{nd}) = Y_t$
5. Why focus on asset durability relative to industry? The argument should also hold across industries...
6. Might be too much, but I think θ_t would make the Asset Durability Premium stronger in the model

Outline

The Paper in a Nutshell

My Comments

Final Remarks

Final Remarks

- The paper is quite interesting and makes an important point:
Asset durability matters for Asset Pricing!
- I expect it to publish well
- It would be useful to:
- Good luck!

Final Remarks

- The paper is quite interesting and makes an important point:
Asset durability matters for Asset Pricing!
- I expect it to publish well
- It would be useful to:
- Good luck!

Final Remarks

- The paper is quite interesting and makes an important point:
Asset durability matters for Asset Pricing!
- I expect it to publish well
- It would be useful to:
 - Explore the model implications for unconstrained firms
 - Jointly study the durability and collateralizability premia
 - Explore whether the model produces a growth premium
 - Better explain how the risk premium channel exacerbates the user cost difference between durable and non-durable capital
- Good luck!

Final Remarks

- The paper is quite interesting and makes an important point:
Asset durability matters for Asset Pricing!
- I expect it to publish well
- It would be useful to:
 - Explore the model implications for unconstrained firms
 - Jointly study the durability and collateralizability premia
 - Explore whether the model produces a growth premium
 - Better explain how the risk premium channel exacerbates the user cost difference between durable and non-durable capital
- Good luck!

Final Remarks

- The paper is quite interesting and makes an important point:
Asset durability matters for Asset Pricing!
- I expect it to publish well
- It would be useful to:
 - Explore the model implications for unconstrained firms
 - Jointly study the durability and collateralizability premia
 - Explore whether the model produces a growth premium
 - Better explain how the risk premium channel exacerbates the user cost difference between durable and non-durable capital
- Good luck!

Final Remarks

- The paper is quite interesting and makes an important point:
Asset durability matters for Asset Pricing!
- I expect it to publish well
- It would be useful to:
 - Explore the model implications for unconstrained firms
 - Jointly study the durability and collateralizability premia
 - Explore whether the model produces a growth premium
 - Better explain how the risk premium channel exacerbates the user cost difference between durable and non-durable capital
- Good luck!

Final Remarks

- The paper is quite interesting and makes an important point:
Asset durability matters for Asset Pricing!
- I expect it to publish well
- It would be useful to:
 - Explore the model implications for unconstrained firms
 - Jointly study the durability and collateralizability premia
 - Explore whether the model produces a growth premium
 - Better explain how the risk premium channel exacerbates the user cost difference between durable and non-durable capital
- Good luck!

Final Remarks

- The paper is quite interesting and makes an important point:
Asset durability matters for Asset Pricing!
- I expect it to publish well
- It would be useful to:
 - Explore the model implications for unconstrained firms
 - Jointly study the durability and collateralizability premia
 - Explore whether the model produces a growth premium
 - Better explain how the risk premium channel exacerbates the user cost difference between durable and non-durable capital
- Good luck!